A paradigm shift within animal welfare

18 September 2017 Susanne Støier, sst@dti.dk

From farm to slaughter

- Definition of animal welfare
- Why animal welfare?
- Perception of animal welfare
- Pre-slaughter handling
- Surveillance and documentation of animal welfare
- Improved value of the livestock



Definition of animal welfare

Five freedoms, Farm Animal Welfare Council

- 1. Freedom from hunger and thirst,
- 2. Freedom from discomfort,
- 3. Freedom from pain, disease or injury,
- 4. Freedom to express normal behaviour,
- 5. Freedom from fear and distress



Welfare Quality® – four principles

- 1. Good feeding,
- 2. Good housing,
- 3. Good health,
- 4. Appropriate behaviour

(Welfare Quality®, 2009)

Why animal welfare?

- Ethical responsibility
- Demands from the market and authorities
- Improvement of value/less loss due to injuries

Points of action

- Documentation of animal welfare
- Optimization of handling on the day of slaughter
 - Transport and delivery to the slaughterhouse
 - Lairage
 - Stunning and sticking



Council Regulation (EC) No 1099/2009 of 24 September 2009 - on the protection of animals at the time of killing

General requirements

Regulations

- Animals shall be spared any avoidable pain, distress or suffering during killing and related operations
- Business operators shall take the necessary measures to ensure compliance with the obligation mentioned above
- Facilities used for killing and related operations shall be designed, constructed, maintained and operated so as to ensure compliance with the obligations mentioned above

Market driven animal welfare













Animal welfare & the consumer – focus group interviews in DK, S and UK

- Welfare is about freedom from pain and stress, but especially about naturalness
- Animal welfare is seen as a quality as such
 - L: "If I know how the animal has been cared for, it's easier for me to put my teeth into it" (Fuglebjerg, DK)
- Animal welfare is often related to eating quality
 - C: "Obviously you want your animal to have had a nice life but that's not really my main motivation, it's more well if they've had a good diet then it's better, it tastes better, it's nicer to eat" (Rye, UK)

Cecilie Thorslund (2016), Copenhagen University



Examples from focus group interviews





A good animal welfare standard is good business



From farm to slaughterhouse

- Pigs in small groups (15)
- Groups only mixed once when loaded on the transport vehicle
- Uniform delivery at the slaughterhouse
- Little mixing of pigs
- Low incidence of fighting



Transport/lairage

Group size Skin damage	15	45
Legs	3.9%	5.2%
Shoulder	16.1%	23.7%

Gade & Christensen, 1999

Uses the instinct of the animals Considerate treatment Improves meat quality



Group-based principle





The handling of pigs at the Danish slaughterhouses are optimized with respect for the animal

DMRI







Lairage time to optimize production or as short as possible



Aggressions increase after three hours







CO₂ stunning

- Allow the group-based principle
- Avoid restraining of pigs
- Improve meat quality (fewer haemorrhages, lower drip loss)
- Signs of aversion to the gas a few seconds before unconsciousness occurs



Stunning procedure

- CO₂ concentration
- Stunning time
- Stun to stick interval

Stunning quality

- No conscious movements
- No respiration
- Cornea reflex
- No vocalisation





Driving and stunning



% Drip loss

Støier, S., Aaslyng, M.D., Olsen, E.V. & Henckel, P., 2001. The effect of stress during lairage and stunning on metabolism and drip loss in Danish Pork. Meat Science, 59, 127-131.



Ethical quality of CO₂ stunning





Slaughter process – critical points

- Collection
- Transport conditions
- Shackling
 - Risk of inducing or increasing pain/shackling of live birds causes them to struggle, flap their wings and vocalise
 - Condition and size of shackles
 - Suspended birds should not hang conscious for more than one minute
- Stunning methods
- Head cutting/debleeding
 - Correct manual or automatic cutting of neck veins
 - Automatic cutting has to be followed by inspection





Pre-slaughter handling **Poultry**

Electrical stunning in water bath

<u>System</u>

- Head to body electrical stunning
 <u>Comments</u>
- Shackling of living birds
- Actual current received by each bird depends on its resistance that varies
- Electro-immobilization instead of loss of consciousness
- Risk of pre-stun shock

Pre-slaughter handling **Poultry**

Controlled Atmosphere Stunning (CAS)

<u>System</u>

CO₂ - multiphase systems using O₂ and CO₂. 1st phase, low concentrations of CO₂ (max. 40%) are induced to reduce aversiveness, 2nd phase, CO₂ concentrations are increased (80%)

<u>Comments</u>

- Less damage (bone fractures, bruises and haemorrhages) compared with electrical stunning (Gigaud et al., 2010)
- Withdrawal reaction -> more damage
- Admixture of oxygen -> less damage
- Possible to stun in transport modules
- Sorting out 'dead' bodies thermography?





Pre-slaughter handling **Poultry**



Stunning – welfare advantages and challenges

	Electrical stunning	Controlled Atmosphere Stunning
Industrial use	80%	20%
Advantages	Rapid onset of unconsciousness Limited operation cost	Stunning in transport modules Shackling post-stun Fewer haemorrhages
Challenges	Shackling Potential for pre-stun shock Electro-immobilization vs. unconscious	Operation cost Unconsciousness is not rapid Detection of bodies that died during transport Consciousness at loss of posture

Ref. Buhr (2009)

Pre-slaughter handling **Poultry**

Surveillance of animal welfare

Why?

- Internal inspection and product responsibility
- Feedback to staff, haulier, farmer etc.
- Demands from market and authorities
 How?
- Behavioural studies
- Ethical audits
- Continuous monitoring of animal welfare possibilities:
 - Video recording/Vision systems
 - Sound recording vocalization by the animals
 - Temperature measurements
 - Blood analysis
 - Etc.

Monitoring motion of pigs – Vision for animal welfare



Movement analysis can give information about the health and welfare of farm animals.

Motion of animals is estimated using optical flow (OF).

A modified angular histogram (MAH) is used to summarize the length of the vectors within a small range of angles.



Modified from Gronskyte (2014)

Surveillance of animal welfare **Pigs**







- 1 Some superficial damage
- 2 Clear deep and/or long damage
- 3 Much deep damage



Surveillance of animal welfare



0

Automated blood analyses of lactate and creatine kinase (CK)



 Turn unloada Slip_lin_ugloadb Turn_unloadb Fall unloadb Posture2 СК Fall unloada Posture1 oada Blood terr I actat • pH22 0.25 0.50 -0.25 -0.20 -0.15 -0.10 -0.05 0.05 0.10 0.15 0.20 0.30 0.35 0.40 0.45 0.55 X-expl: 23%,11% Y-expl

X- and Y-loadings

Brandt, P., Rousing, T., Herskin M. S., Aaslyng, M. D., 2013. Identification of *post-mortem* indicators of welfare of finishing pigs on the day of slaughter. Livestock Science 157, 535-544.

Surveillance of animal welfare **Pigs**

VisStick – monitoring the sticking procedure







Detection range: 98 to 100% False positive: 0 to 0.064% (Borggaard et al., 2011)

Surveillance of animal welfare **Pigs**

Footpad dermatitis – an indicator of welfare problems

- Danish broilers have been checked for footpad dermatitis since 2002
- Inspected Danish flocks with a low score:

2003: 30 % 2013: 75 % (Danish Veterinary and Food Administration)



Surveillance of animal welfare **Poultry**

DANISH MEAT

Footpad lesions – automatic measurement





Surveillance of animal welfare **Poultry**



Improved value of slaughter pigs at commercial slaughterhouses

Improvement of pre-slaughter handling incl. optimization of the stunning systems – change from electrical to CO_2 stunning

- Less PSE
- Lower drip loss
- Fewer haemorrhages
- Increased value of the cuts and more products acceptable for high price markets
- ~ \$ 4,600,000 for a slaughterhouse killing 4,000,000 pigs a year
- ~ \$ 1.15 per pig



Improved value of livestock



Improved value of broilers

Demand for high-quality paws Chicken paw prices have escalated in the US Paws accounting for approx. \$ 280 million a year

US Poultry & Egg Export Council, 2009



Improved value of livestock



- Animal welfare is good business
- Market driven animal welfare a trend
- Technology and management improve animal welfare and product quality
- More smart systems for surveillance are needed



DANISH MEAT RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Thank you for your attention!

Susanne Støier, sst@dti.dk

www.animalwelfare.dk